

198-1276

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CONTROLLING A TEMPERATURE OF AN EMISSION CONTROL DEVICE

Field Of The Invention

The present invention relates to a system
5 and method for controlling a temperature of an
emission control device.

Description Of The Related Art

Diesel engines have utilized emission
control devices such as NOx absorbers or NOx traps to
10 remove NOx from engine exhaust gases. Engine control
systems generally create exothermic reactions in the
emission control devices to heat the devices for
improved NOx reduction. However, engine control
systems have difficulty in controlling a temperature
15 in the emission control devices which can result in
an excess temperature that degrades the devices.
Thus, the inventors herein have recognized there is a
need for a system and method for accurately
controlling the temperature of the emission control
20 device.

Summary of the Invention

The foregoing problems and disadvantages
25 are overcome by a system and method for controlling a
temperature in an emission control device.

A method for controlling a temperature of
an emission control device receiving exhaust gases
from an engine is provided. The emission control
30 device is coupled adjacent and downstream of an
oxidation catalyst. The method includes adding a
reductant to the exhaust gases. The method further

5 A system for controlling a temperature of
an emission control device receiving exhaust gases
from an engine is also provided. The device is
coupled adjacent and downstream of an oxidation
catalyst. The system includes a reductant valve
10 selectively supplying reductant to the exhaust gases
responsive to a first signal. The system further
includes a throttle valve controlling flow of the
exhaust gases to the oxidation catalyst responsive to
a second signal. The system further includes a
15 controller operably connected to the reductant valve
and the throttle valve. The controller generates the
first and second signals to control a mixture of the
exhaust gases and the reductant flowing into the
oxidation catalyst to control a temperature of the
20 emission control device.

The system and method claimed herein provide a substantial advantage over known systems and methods. In particular, by controlling a temperature of an oxidation catalyst adjacent the emission control device, the temperature of the device can also be accurately controlled. In particular, by controlling a mixture of exhaust gases and reductant flowing into the oxidation catalyst, the temperature of the mixture exiting the catalyst can be maintained within a desired temperature range, preventing degradation of the device.

Brief Description Of The Drawings

Figure 1 is a plan view of an exhaust
5 system coupled to an engine.

Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of a dual
NOx trap and particulate filter of the exhaust system
of Figure 1.

Figures 3A, 3B are flowcharts of a method
10 for monitoring and removing stored NOx from the
exhaust system of Figure 1.

Figures 4A, 4B, 4C are flowcharts for
monitoring and removing particulate matter and sulfur
oxides (SO_x) stored in the exhaust filters of Figure
15 1.

Figures 5A and 5B are schematics of signals
illustrating the operation of the flowchart of Figure
4B for removing particulate matter from an exhaust
filter.

Figures 6A and 6B are schematics of signals
20 illustrating the operation of the flowchart of Figure
4C for removing particulate matter and SO_x from an
exhaust filter.

25 Description of the Preferred Embodiments

Referring now to Figure 1, an exhaust
system 10 is illustrated in operational relationship
with an internal combustion engine 12 such as a
diesel engine for a vehicle such as an automotive
30 vehicle (not shown). The engine 12 has an exhaust
manifold 14 to direct the exhaust gases from engine
12 to exhaust system 10. The exhaust manifold 14 is
divided into two exhaust intake conduits 16, 18. The

exhaust intake conduits 16, 18 direct exhaust gases through two integrated NO_x trap/particulate filters 19, 20. The outputs of the filters 19, 20 are directed through two exhaust output conduits 21, 22 to a muffler or tail pipe 23. The amount of exhaust gases flowing to filters 19, 20 is controlled by control valves 24, 25, respectively. Conventional oxidation catalysts 26, 27 are located upstream and proximate filters 19, 20, respectively.

The oxidation catalysts 26, 27 serve several functions. First, catalysts 26, 27 are utilized to accurately control temperatures in filters 19, 20, respectively. Fuel injected into the exhaust gases upstream of catalysts 26, 27 can be used to create exothermic reactions in catalysts 26, 27 to provide exhaust gases at desired temperatures to filters 19, 20, respectively. Thus, temperature spikes produced by the injected fuel only occur within catalysts 26, 27 instead of filters 19, 20--- thereby protecting filters 19, 20 from degrading due to excess temperatures. Further, controlled exothermic reactions in catalysts 26, 27 allow filters 19, 20 to be maintained within desired temperature ranges and air-fuel ranges for optimally removing NO_x, SO_x, and particulate matter. It should be understood, however, that an oxidation catalyst as taught by this specification can be used to control a temperature of any proximate downstream emission control device such as a NO_x absorber, a catalytic converter, and a particulate filter, for example. Second, catalysts 26, 27 begin burning the fuel injected into the exhaust gases and rapidly vaporize the remaining fuel before the mixture of fuel and

exhaust gases reach filters 19, 20, respectively. The vaporized fuel more effectively reduces NOx within filters 19, 20 as compared to injecting liquid fuel directly into filters 19, 20.

5 A reducing agent supply tank 28 and associated fuel nozzles 29, 30 enable precise quantities of reductant, such as diesel fuel, to be injected into the exhaust path intake conduits 16, 18. In the case of a diesel engine, the reductant is
10 preferably the diesel fuel supply in the vehicle fuel tank. It should be understood, however, that other reductants such as gasoline for example could be used as the injected reductant.

In addition, temperature sensors 31, 32
15 generate feedback signals to the engine control module (ECM) 34. The signals are indicative of temperatures of exhaust gases exiting catalysts 26, 27, respectively---obtained from exothermic reactions in oxidation catalysts 26, 27. The ECM 34, in turn,
20 controls the flow of exhaust gases through exhaust system 10 as well as the regeneration process of filters 19, 20. Logic control of system 10 is carried out in ECM 34 by way of a central processing unit (CPU) 36 such as a microprocessor 36 and an
25 associated memory 38.

Referring to Figures 1 and 2, each of the exhaust filters 19, 20 may include a filter substrate 40 extending along a longitudinal axis. The filter substrate 40 has a plurality of walls 42 extending
30 longitudinally and forming a plurality of alternating first and second channels 44 and 46. The walls 42 may be made of a ceramic material such as ceramic cordierite. The ceramic material is porous and has a

pore size of approximately 60 microns. The walls 42 have a thickness of 10 to 20 mils. The walls 42 are configured to provide 50 to 200 channels per square inch. Channels 44, 46 have a generally rectangular cross-section, although it should be appreciated that the cross-sectional area of the channels 44,46 is dictated by flow and filtering requirements.

Each of channels 44, 46 have an inlet end 48 and an outlet end 50. The first channels 44 have a blocking member 52 to close the outlet end 50 and the second channels 46 have a blocking member 52 to close inlet end 48. The blocking member 52 is made of ceramic material such as ceramic cordierite. Second channels 46 also have a NO_x absorbent wash coat 54 extending from the outlet end 50 along the walls 42 toward the inlet end 48. The wash coat 54 is a NO_x absorbent applied by conventional procedures. The NO_x absorbent may be (i) a precious metal such as Pt-Rh and an alkali metal such as potassium or lithium, or (ii) alkaline earth metals such as barium or strontium or (iii) lanthanides such as cerium---dispersed into an alumina support deposited onto walls 42 of second channels 46.

It should be appreciated that alternate channels 44, 46 are blocked to force all of the exhaust gas flow through walls 42 having wash coat 54 thereby filtering the exhaust gas particulate matter and absorbing the NO_x. Exhaust gases from engine 12 enter through the inlet openings 48, pass through porous walls 42 of the substrate 40, and exit through the second channels 46 at open outlets 50. Since the particulate matter is too large to pass through the pores within substrate wall 42, it deposits onto

8

9

filter 19 is maintained above a threshold temperature for removing SO_x and particulate matter, fuel is delivered at periodic intervals to the exhaust gases. Thus, the exhaust gases are alternated between rich
5 of stoichiometry and lean of stoichiometry. During delivery of rich exhaust gases, the SO_x is removed from filter 19. During delivery of lean exhaust gases, particulate matter is removed from filter 19.

Referring to Figures 3A, 3B, 4A-4C, the
10 method executed by ECM 34 to control the exhaust gas purification system 10 will now be described. Figures 3A and 3B describe a preferred control arrangement for monitoring NO_x absorption in filters 19, 20 and regeneration of the same. As shown in
15 Figure 3A, the primary NO_x control scheme begins with a series of initializations. In these logic control diagrams, the parallel filter arrangement as shown in Figure 1 is considered to have two sides or paths. Thus, logic variables ending in the numeral "1" refer
20 to the exhaust path containing filter 19 and logic variables ending in the numeral "2" refer to the exhaust path containing filter 20.

At step 60, the regeneration flags REGNFLAG1 and RGENFLAG2 for filters 19, 20, respectively are
25 initialized to zero---indicating that particulate matter (PM) removal and desulfation (SO_x regeneration) is not taking place.

At step 62, the values CUMNO1 and CUMNO2 corresponding to the cumulative NO_x stored in filters
30 19, 20, respectively, are initialized to zero.

At step 64, the NO_x regeneration counter DNOXCNT and the maximum value of the NO_x regeneration counter are initialized to zero.

At step 66, the status of control valves 24, 25 are initialized. In this example, the control valve 24 is fully opened (VFLG1=1) and valve 25 is completely closed (VFLG2=0). The flags VFLG1 and VFLG2 indicate a partially open position when equal to the value of two. After initializing the foregoing variables, all of the exhaust gases will be flowing through filter 19.

At block 68, the mass of feed gas NO_x (MNOX) generated by engine 12 is estimated as a function of the engine speed and load 70. The value MNOX can be readily determined from lookup tables indexed by engine speed and load created during engine mapping.

Because VFLG1 is initially equal to "1", the method advances from step 72 to step 74. At step 74, the value MNOX is added to the cumulative NO_x (CUMNO1) absorbed by filter 19 through which the exhaust gases are flowing.

At step 76, the value RGENFLG2 indicating whether particulate matter and SO_x regeneration is occurring for filter 20 is checked. If regeneration and particulate matter burn-off is not occurring in filter 20, then the method advances to step 78. Otherwise, the method returns to step 68.

At step 78, a determination is made as to whether the total mass of absorbed NO_x (CUMNO1) is greater than or equal to a predetermined maximum value (CUMNO_MAX) for filter 19. If the value of step 78 equals "No", the NO_x regeneration program (DNOX2) for the filter 20 is executed. Otherwise, the steps 80, 82, 84, 86 are performed.

At step 80, control valve 24 is partially closed. At step 82, the duration of the NO_x

regeneration (DNOXCNT_MAX) for filter 19 is set as a function of the total NO_x absorbed. Next at step 84, the regeneration timer DNOXCNT is reset. Finally, at step 86, control valve 25 is fully opened.

5 Referring to Figure 3B, the DNOX2 routine for removing NO_x from filter 20 will now be described. Before entering the DNOX2 routine, control valve 24 will be fully open and control valve 25 will be partially open.

10 At step 94, a determination is made as to whether the regeneration counter DNOXCNT is greater than or equal to the count DNOXCNT_MAX. The value of the maximum count DNOXCNT_MAX corresponds to the amount of time required to remove the stored NO_x in
15 filter 20 (Fig. 3A, step 92). If the value of step 94 equals "Yes", the method is exited at step 110. Otherwise, the method advances to step 95. At step 95, a partially open position of valve 25 is determined. As shown, the position of valve 25 is
20 determined from exhaust flow rate 97 and exhaust oxygen concentration 100. The exhaust flow rate 97 is determined as a function of engine speed 96. The exhaust oxygen concentration 100 is determined as a function of engine load 99. After step 95, the
25 method advances to step 98.

At step 98, the amount of fuel flow F2 that is injected by injector 30 is determined. The fuel flow amount is calculated based on the exhaust flow rate 97 and the exhaust oxygen concentration 100.

30 At step 101, a determination is made as to whether the temperature T1 of oxidation catalyst 32 is greater than a threshold temperature T_THRESHOLD for optimal removal of NO_x. The temperature

T_THRESHOLD may be 270° C for example. If the value of step 101 equals "Yes", the method advances to step 102 which determines whether the temperature is greater than a maximum temperature T_MAX. The value
 5 of T_MAX may be 400 °C for example. If the value of step 102 equals "Yes", the opened position V2 of valve 25 is reduced using the following equation:

$$V2 = V2 - \Delta V$$

where ΔV is a predetermined adjustment amount of
 10 valve 25 that is empirically determined. After step 103, the method returns to step 98 to recalculate the fuel flow F2 based on the new position of valve 25.

Referring again to step 101, if the temperature T1 is less than threshold temperature
 15 T_THRESHOLD for optimal removal of NOx, the method advances to step 104.

At step 104, the fuel flow F2 is increased based on the following equation:

$$F2 = F2 + \Delta F$$

20 where ΔF is a predetermined fueling adjustment amount for valve 30 that is empirically determined. The step 104 increases the amount of fuel combusted in oxidation catalyst 27 to thereby increase the temperature of catalyst 27 and filter 20.

25 Next at step 105, the counter DNOXCNT is incremented using the following equation:

$$DNOXCNT = DNOXCNT + DT$$

where DT corresponds to the time interval that has elapsed since the value DNOXCNT was previously
 30 incremented in this execution of the DNOX2 routine.

Next at step 106, a determination is made as to whether DNOXCNT is greater than or equal to maximum count DNOXCNT_MAX. If the value of step 106

valve 24 is opened (VFLG1=1) and the second valve 25 is closed (VFLG2=0).

At step 208, the mass of particulate matter flowing through the first path is determined as a function of the engine speed/load 210.

Next at step 212, the mass of sulfur flowing through filter 19 is estimated as a function of the engine fuel flow 214. Since all of the exhaust is flowing through the first path 16, these estimated values will be attributed to filter 19 at steps 218 and 220. If either the accumulated total particulate matter in filter 19 as governed by decision step 222, or the total sulfur absorbed by filter 19 as dictated by decision step 224 exceeds a predetermined maximum, the PMREG1 routine is executed to regenerate filter 19.

Before proceeding with a detailed discussion of the PMREG1 routine for removing particulate matter from filter 19, a general overview of the methodology will be explained. Referring to Figure 5A, the lambda value (λ) corresponding to an exhaust gas-fuel ratio or an air-fuel ratio of exhaust gases flowing into oxidation catalyst 26 over time is illustrated. As shown, prior to time T_1 , lambda (λ) is lean of stoichiometry. Between times T_1 - T_2 , lambda (λ) is reduced to a more rich value. Lambda (λ) may be made more rich by (i) throttling valve 24 to reduce the amount of exhaust gases flowing into catalyst 26 and (ii) injecting reductant, such as diesel fuel, via valve 29 into the exhaust gases flowing into catalyst 26. Referring to Figure 5B, during the injection of fuel during time interval T_1 - T_2 , the fuel is completely combusted in

Referring to Figure 4B, the PMREG1 routine for removing particulate matter from filter 19 is illustrated. The PMREG1 routine is called by step 226 of Figure 4A.

15 At step 230, the regeneration flag RGENFLG1
is initialized to a value of one indicating
regeneration has commenced.

Next at step 232, the first valve 24 is partially opened (VFLG1=2) and the second valve 25 is opened fully (VFLG2=1).

Next at step 234, the particulate matter burn-off time DPMTIME is initialized and the SO_x regeneration time DSOXTIME is initialized. The value DPMTIME corresponds to an amount of time that particulate matter has been burned off of filter 19. The value DSOXTIME corresponds to an amount of time that SO_x has been removed from filter 19.

Next at step 236, a determination is made as to whether the cumulative amount of stored SO_x value CUMSOX1 is greater than or equal to the value CUMSOX1_MAX. If the value of step 236 equals "Yes", the method advances to step 238 that initializes the values DPMCNT and DSOXCNT. Thereafter, the routine

executes steps 240 and 250 to increase the temperature T1. Otherwise, the method advances to step 254.

At step 254, fuel flow through valve 29 is shut off. In other words, no further reductant is provided to oxidation catalyst 26 once sustained oxidation of the soot has been achieved in filter 19.

Next at step 256, the counter DPMTIME is incremented utilizing the following equation:

$$DPMTIME = DPMTIME + DT$$

where DT corresponds to the amount of elapsed time since the value DPMTIME was last incremented during this execution of the PMREG1 routine.

Next at step 258, a determination is made as to whether the value DPMTIME is greater than or equal to a maximum allowable time DPMTIME_MAX for removing the particulate matter. If the value of step 258 equals "No", the method advances back to step 240 for continued oxidation of particulate matter in filter 19. Otherwise, the method advances to step 260 which sets the total particulate matter value CUMPM1 equal to zero. Further, the flag RGENFLG1 is set equal to zero. Thereafter at step 262, the routine is exited and the method returns to the MAIN PM and SOXREG program.

Before proceeding with a detailed discussion of the SOXREG1-PMREG1 routine for removing particulate matter and SO_x from filter 19, a general overview of the methodology will be explained.

Referring to Figure 6A, the lambda value (λ) corresponding to an exhaust gas-fuel ratio of exhaust gases flowing into oxidation catalyst 26 over time is illustrated. As shown, lambda (λ) is alternated

between being lean of stoichiometry and rich of stoichiometry. Particulate matter is removed from filter 19 when lambda (λ) is lean of stoichiometry. SO_x is removed from filter 19 when lambda (λ) is rich of stoichiometry.

During each time period DSOXCNT_PRD, lambda (λ) is maintained rich of stoichiometry. A portion of the rich mixture is combusted on oxidation catalyst 26 to increase the temperature of the catalyst 26. Further, as illustrated in Figure 6B, the temperature T1 of catalyst 26 is maintained above the temperature TCRIT. A remaining non-combusted portion of the rich mixture removes SO_x stored in filter 19. During each time period DPMCNT_PRD, lambda (λ) is maintained lean of stoichiometry. The lean mixture (containing excess oxygen by definition) burns off particulate matter stored in filter 19.

Referring to Figure 4C, the routine SOXREG1-PMREG1 for removing SO_x and particulate matter from filter 19 is illustrated.

At step 264, the partially open position V1 for valve 24 is determined as a function of the exhaust flow rate 242 and exhaust oxygen concentration 246.

Next at step 266, the fuel flow rate F1 delivered into the exhaust gases upstream of catalyst 26 is determined based on exhaust flow rate 242 and exhaust oxygen concentration 246.

Next at step 268, the intermediate period (DSOXCNT_PRD) for removing SO_x, and the intermediate period (DPMCNT_PRD) for removing particulate matter is determined. It should be noted that the sum of the DSOXCNT_PRD values corresponds to the De-SO_x

regeneration period DSOX_TIME_MAX. Similarly, the sum of the DPMCNT_PRD values correspond to the total particulate matter regeneration period DPMTIME_MAX. The values DSOXCNT_PRD and DPMCNT_PRD may be obtained
 5 from a table stored in memory 38 indexed by exhaust flow rate 242, exhaust oxygen concentration 246, and fueling rate F1.

As shown in Figure 6A, the periods DSOXCNT_PRD and DPMCNT_PRD are utilized to create
 10 fuel injection pulses to obtain the fuel flow rate F1 (and a desired lambda value). The fuel injection pulses create a mixture of exhaust gases and fuel that alternate between being rich of stoichiometry and lean of stoichiometry. When the mixture is rich
 15 of stoichiometry, a portion of the fuel is burned in oxidation catalyst 26 increasing the temperature of filter 19. The remaining un-combusted fuel decomposes stored SOx within the NO_x trap wash coat of filter 19.

20 Next at step 270, a determination is made as to whether temperature T1 of oxidation catalyst 26 is greater than temperature TCRIT. If the value of step 270 equals "No", the method re-executes steps 264 and 266 to increase the temperature T1.
 25 Otherwise, the method advances to step 272.

At step 272, the time DSOXCNT is incremented utilizing the following equation:

$$DSOXCNT = DSOXCNT + DT$$

where DT corresponds to the elapsed time since the
 30 value DSOXCNT was last incremented in this execution of the SOXREG1-PMREG1 routine.

Next at step 274, a determination is made as to whether the value DSOXCNT is greater than or

equal to the value DSOXCNT_PRD. If the value of step 274 equals "No", the method returns to step 264 for continued delivery of reductant to filter 19 to remove SO_x from filter 19. Otherwise, the method
 5 advances to step 276.

At step 276, the value DSOXTIME is incremented using the following equation:

$$\text{DSOXTIME} = \text{DSOXTIME} + \text{DSOXCNT_PRD}$$

Next at step 278, the fuel flow rate F1 is
 10 set equal to zero to create a lean mixture of exhaust gases for removing particulate matter from filter 19.

Next at step 280, the valve DPMCNT is incremented using the following equation:

$$\text{DPMCNT} = \text{DPMCNT} + \text{DT}$$

15 where DT corresponds to the amount of elapsed time since the value DPMCNT was last incremented in this execution of the SOXREG1-PMREG1 routine.

At step 282, a determination is made as to whether DPMCNT is greater than or equal to
 20 regeneration period DPMCNT_PRD. If the value of step 282 equals "Yes", the method returns to step 280 for continued removal of particulate matter from filter 19. Otherwise, the method advances to step 284.

At step 284, the total measured
 25 regeneration time DPMTIME is incremented using the following equation:

$$\text{DPMTIME} = \text{DPMTIME} + \text{DPMCNT_PRD}$$

Next at step 286, a determination is made as to whether the measured SO_x regeneration time
 30 DSOXTIME is greater than or equal to the value DSOXTIME_MAX. If the value of step 286 equals "Yes", the method advances to step 288 explained below.

Otherwise, the method returns to step 264 described above.

At step 288, a determination is made as to whether the measured particulate matter removal time
 5 DPMTIME is greater than or equal to value DPMTIME_MAX. If the value of step 288 equals "No", the method returns to steps 278 for continued removal of particulate matter from filter 19. Otherwise, the method advances to step 290.

10 At step 290, the values CUMSOX1, CUMPM, REGNFLAG1 are all set to zero. Thereafter, the routine is exited at step 292.

The system and method for controlling a temperature of an emission control device provides a
 15 substantial advantage over known systems and methods. In particular, by controlling a temperature of an oxidation catalyst 26 adjacent the NOx trap 19, the temperature of the NOx trap 19 can also be accurately controlled. As discussed above, this is accomplished
 20 by controlling a mixture of exhaust gases and reductant flowing into catalyst 26 to obtain a desired temperature range for gases flowing into the NOx trap 19. Thus, the NOx trap 19 can be maintained within the desired temperature range, preventing
 25 degradation of trap 19.